	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Allowability	10/647,640 Examiner	TAKAYAMA ET AL. Art Unit		
	Benjamin E. Lanier	2132		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	6 (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apply or other appropriate communication RIGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course THIS	
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>the amendment filed</u>	1 24 August 2007.			
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,2,7,10-13,16-26 and 31-33.				
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally All b) Some* c) None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have a linternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	e been received. e been received in Application No		tion from the	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.				
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.				
 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 	Office action of	b back) of	
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.				
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal F	Patent Application		
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary	6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date 7. ☒ Examiner's Amendment/Comment 8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance		
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),				
Paper No./Mail Date	8. 🛭 Examiner's Stateme			
C. Diological material	9. Other			
,				

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed 24 August 2007 amends claims 1, 2, 7, 10-13, 16, 17, 19-26, 31-33. Claims 3-6, 8, 9, 14, 15, and 27-30 have been cancelled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's argument that the claim amendments have overcome the §112, second paragraph, rejections of claims 7, 11-13, 19, 22-24 has been fully considered and is persuasive. The overcome the §112, second paragraph, rejections of claims 7, 11-13, 19, 22-24 have been withdrawn.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

- 3. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
- 4. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Ronald E. Brown on 11 September 2007.

The application has been amended as follows:

Change "authentication information" to "said authentication information" on line 8 of claim 1.

Change "user" to "said user" on line 8 of claim 1.

Change "master key" to "said master key" on line 11 of claim 2.

Delete "further encoding by an irreversible calculation process" on line 5 of claim 7.

Art Unit: 2132

Delete "(F) wherein" on line 6 of claim 7.

Delete "said" from line 7 of claim 7.

Add "on said concatenation" after "process (G)" on line 8 of claim 7.

Change "authentication information" to "said authentication information" on line 9 of claim 7.

Change "encrypted part" to "an encrypted part" on line 3 of claim 10.

Add "key" after "decryption" on line 3 of claim 16.

Change "received electronic" to "said received electronic" on line 7 of claim 16.

Change "wherein authentication" to "wherein said authentication" on line 4 of claim 20.

Change "said first irreversible" to "a first irreversible" on line 5 of claim 20.

Change "a" to "an" on line 6 of claim 20.

Change "said signature" to "signature" on line 6 of claim 23.

Add "said value" before "authentication" on line 3 of claim 24.

Change "value" to "said value" on line 17 of claim 25.

Change "said decryption key of" to "a decryption key from" on line 2 of claim 26.

Change "a" to "said" on line 7 of claim 26.

Add "said" before "authentication" on line 9 of claim 26.

Add "said" before "authentication" on line 10 of claim 26.

Change "a decryption key" to "said decryption key" on line 10 of claim 26.

Add "said" before "master" on line 11 of claim 26.

Add "said" before "received" on line 11 of claim 26.

Add "value" before "authentication" on line 3 of claim 31.

Art Unit: 2132

Change "said" to "an" on line 5 of claim 31.

Add "an" before "encrypted" on line 14 of claim 31.

Delete "said" before "authentication" on line 16 of claim 31.

Add "said" before "value" on line 16 of claim 31.

Add "said" before "received" on line 16 of claim 31.

Add "said" before "generated" on line 17 of claim 31.

Add "said" before "user" on line 4 of claim 32.

Add "said" before "received" on line 9 of claim 32.

Add "said" before "generated" on line 10 of claim 32.

Change "authentication process" to "said authentication process" on line 6 of claim 33.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 2, 7, 10-13, 16-26, 31-33 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The claimed invention generally concerns user authentication wherein the authentication side transmits a random number to the user. The user hashes an authentication value with a first one-way hash function and encrypts the hash. The user then concatenates the encrypted hash with the received random number and hashes the concatenation with a second one-way hash function to be transmitted to the authentication side along with the encrypted hash. The authentication side then decrypts the received hash, concatenates the decrypted hash with the random number that was previously transmitted to the user in question, hashes the concatenation with the second one-way hash function, and compares the hashed concatenation with the hashed concatenation received

Art Unit: 2132

form the user. If the authentication side verifies that the hashes are identical, the user is authenticated.

- The closes prior art (Larsen, U.S. Publication No. 2004/0098627) discloses a similar user authentication system wherein the authentication side transmits a random number to the user (Figure 13, 340). The user concatenates the received random number with a password (Figure 13, 344), hashes the concatenation (Figure 13, 344), and transmits the hash to the authentication side (Figure 13, 346). The authentication side then retrieves the previously transmitted random number (Figure 13, 350), the password associated with the user (Figure 13, 352), concatenates the random number and the password (Figure 13, 354), hashes the concatenation (Figure 13, 354), and compares the hash value with the hash received from the user (Figure 13, 356). If the hashes match, then the user is authenticated (Figure 13, 360).
- 7. The prior art does not disclose or make obvious hashing the password with a first one-way hash function prior to being concatenated with the received random number and hashed with a second one-way hash function. The prior art also does not disclose or make obvious that this concatenated hash is transmitted to the authentication side along with the encrypted first hash such that the authentication side decrypts the encrypted hash, concatenates the decrypted hash with the previously transmitted random number, hashes the concatenation with the second one-way hash function, and compares the hashed concatenation with the hashed concatenation received from the user in order to authentication the user.
- 8. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Art Unit: 2132

Page 6

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E. Lanier whose telephone number is 571-272-3805. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Benjamin B. Lanier